

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,259	01/10/2002	Bryan Rennecamp	RCP 6014	8444
321	7590 02/05/2003			
	POWERS LEAVITT	AND ROEDEL	EXAMINER	
16TH FLOOR	E METROPOLITAN SQUARE `H FLOOR		LOPEZ, CARLOS N	
ST LOUIS, M	O 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ART ONT	FAFER NUMBER
			1731	_
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	74

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/044,259	RENNECAMP, BRYAN V				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carlos Lopez	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under						
·	sposition of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
احراد.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 .	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certification of the prior application of the certification of the certification of the prior application of the certification of the certificat	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 5)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment			. 1				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to smoking apparatus, classified in class 131, subclass 187.
- II. Claims 15-28, drawn to method of manufacturing a smoking apparatus using a wooden blank, classified in class 131, subclass 328.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process that does not require a wood blank for making a plurality of smoking apparatus.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1731

During a telephone conversation with Paul Fleischut on 1/27/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of smoking apparatus, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim15-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: elements 13,14, 42, 45 in figures 21 and 23. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 5, the phrase "washer type screw" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "washer type screw"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 1731

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bucher et al (Des. 409,329). Bucher discloses a smoking apparatus having a body receptacle with a pivotably attached lid (Figure 5-6). The lid and body have corresponding constant peripheral contour cross sections (Figure 5-6).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Hill (US 5,967,310). Hill's second embodiment recited in claim 2 and in column 3 lines 18-32, discloses a smoking apparatus having smoking material receptacle 30 in the body of the smoking apparatus and a receptacle opening at the top of the smoking apparatus. The second embodiment includes a lid having a width, bottom, depth, and external walls (lid 40) with a constant peripheral cross section. Additionally the second embodiment lacks the third recess 32. In view that Hill teaches that its disclosed invention provides for smoking apparatus that may be easily and efficiently

Application/Control Number: 10/044,259

Art Unit: 1731

manufactured (Column 2, lines 60-65), low cost of manufacturing in regards to material and labor (Column 3 lines 1-8), it is assumed that Hill's second embodiment, lacking a third recess 32, would have a body with a constant peripheral contour cross sections as implied in Figure 1 if it lacked recess 31. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided a smoking apparatus having a body and a lid with constant peripheral cross section in order to reduce labor cost as taught by Hill.

As for claim 2, as shown in figure 1, the smoking body lacking recess 31 would include a lid 40 and body 14 with corresponding constant peripheral cross sections.

As for claims 3-5, the lid 40 is pivotably attached to the body 14 with screw 48.

As for claim 6, the wood grain running in the same direction as the lid and body is an obvious matter of design choice.

As for product-by-process claims 7-8, the process limitations do not change the end product and therefore reads on Hill's disclosed smoking apparatus. See MPEP 2113.

As for claim 9, in view of figures 1 and 2 disclosing a pipe receptacle 28, the distance of the pipe receptacle and smoking material receptacle is greater than the lid depth.

As for claim 10 reciting sidewalls of the smoking apparatus having arcuate contours, is an obvious matter of design choice.

As for claims 12-13, provides an obvious matter design of choice with process limitations that do not change the end product.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: The cited prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest providing a

replacement lid, with a constant peripheral contour, at the bottom of the smoking

apparatus body.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-

1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718

for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0651.

C.L

VISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700** 

January 30, 2003